

# BST 676 — Spring 2011 — Dr. Charnigo

## Written Assignment 3 Solutions

1. For  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  we have  $\log f(x; \theta) = x \log \theta + (1-x) \log(1-\theta)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x; \theta) = x/\theta + (x-1)/(1-\theta) = x/[\theta(1-\theta)] - 1/(1-\theta)$ . Thus,  $J_n(\theta) = n \text{Var}_\theta \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X; \theta) \right] = n \text{Var}_\theta \left[ \frac{X}{\theta(1-\theta)} \right] = n\theta^{-2}(1-\theta)^{-2} \text{Var}_\theta[X] = n\theta^{-1}(1-\theta)^{-1}$ . The Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta$  is  $1/J_n(\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)/n$ .

2. We have  $E_\theta[\bar{X}] = E_\theta[X_1] = \theta$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta[\bar{X}] = \text{Var}_\theta[X_1]/n = \theta(1-\theta)/n$ , which is the Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta$ . Thus,  $\bar{X}$  is the best unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

3. The mean square error of  $a\hat{\theta}$  is  $(E_\theta[a\hat{\theta}] - \theta)^2 + \text{Var}_\theta[a\hat{\theta}] = (a-1)^2\theta^2 + a^2\theta(1-\theta)/n$ . The derivative of the mean square error with respect to  $a$  is  $2(a-1)\theta^2 + 2a\theta(1-\theta)/n$ . Setting the derivative to 0 yields  $a = \theta^2/[\theta^2 + \theta(1-\theta)/n] = 1/[1 + (1-\theta)/(n\theta)]$ . Since the derivative is a linear function of  $a$ , we see that the derivative must be negative for  $a < 1/[1 + (1-\theta)/(n\theta)]$  and positive for  $a > 1/[1 + (1-\theta)/(n\theta)]$ . Hence, setting the derivative to 0 yields the global minimizer. In summary, the optimal  $a$  is  $1/[1 + (1-\theta)/(n\theta)]$ .

*Remark.* For a fixed  $n$ , if  $\theta$  is close to 1, then the optimal  $a$  is close to 1. For a fixed  $n$ , if  $\theta$  is close to 0, then the optimal  $a$  is considerably smaller than 1. For a fixed  $\theta$ , the optimal  $a$  tends to 1 as  $n$  becomes large.

4. Many answers are possible. One is  $L(a, b) := (\text{logit } b - \text{logit } a)^2$ . Condition (i) is obviously satisfied. To check condition (ii), note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial b} L(a, b) = 2(\text{logit } b - \text{logit } a) \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \text{logit } b = 2(\text{logit } b - \text{logit } a)[1/b + 1/(1-b)]$ . This is negative if  $b < a$  (since then  $\text{logit } b < \text{logit } a$ ) and positive if  $b > a$  (since then  $\text{logit } b > \text{logit } a$ ), implying that  $L(a, b)$  is decreasing in  $b$  when  $b < a$  but increasing in  $b$  when  $b > a$ . Condition (iii) follows from  $\lim_{b \rightarrow 0^+} \text{logit } b = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{b \rightarrow 1^-} \text{logit } b = +\infty$ .

5. The Central Limit Theorem provides  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \theta(1-\theta))$ . Applying the Delta Method with  $g(y) := y^2$  and  $g'(y) = 2y$ , we find that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}^2 - \theta^2) \xrightarrow{L} 2\theta N(0, \theta(1-\theta)) = N(0, 4\theta^3(1-\theta))$ .

6. We have  $E_\theta[\hat{\theta}^2] = \text{Var}_\theta[\hat{\theta}] + (E_\theta[\hat{\theta}])^2 = \theta(1-\theta)/n + \theta^2$ .

7. The Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta^2$  is  $(\frac{d}{d\theta}\theta^2)^2/J_n(\theta) = 4\theta^2/[n\theta^{-1}(1-\theta)^{-1}] = 4\theta^3(1-\theta)/n$ .

8. We have  $E_\theta[a\hat{\theta}^2 + b\hat{\theta}] = a\theta(1-\theta)/n + a\theta^2 + b\theta = a(1-1/n)\theta^2 + (b+a/n)\theta$ . Thus, we need  $a(1-1/n) = 1$  and  $b+a/n = 0$  for unbiased estimation of  $\theta^2$ , yielding  $a = n/(n-1)$  and  $b = -1/(n-1)$ .

9. In general, if  $\nu_1(\theta)$  and  $\nu_2(\theta)$  are not linearly related, then we cannot attain both the Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\nu_1(\theta)$  and the Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\nu_2(\theta)$ . For this exercise, put  $\nu_1(\theta) := \theta$  and  $\nu_2(\theta) := \theta^2$ . Since the Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta$  was attained (exercise 2), the Cramer-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta^2$  cannot be attained.

10. Note that  $a \rightarrow 1$  and  $b \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, note that  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$  by the Weak Law and  $\hat{\theta}^2 \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2$  by the Continuous Mapping Theorem. Thus, Slutsky's Theorem #2 yields  $a \times \hat{\theta}^2 \xrightarrow{P} 1 \times \theta^2 = \theta^2$  and  $b \times \hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \times \theta = 0$ . Another application of Slutsky's Theorem #2 yields  $a\hat{\theta}^2 + b\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2 + 0 = \theta^2$ .