

## STA 623 – Fall 2010 – Dr. Charnigo

### Section 3.6: Inequalities and Identities

*Chebychev's Inequality.* We more or less proved Chebychev's Inequality earlier this semester (Section 2.3) when showing that a random variable  $X$  for which  $Var[X] = 0$  necessarily had  $X = E[X]$  with probability one. As a refresher, for any positive integer  $j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X - E[X])^2] &\geq E[(X - E[X])^2 1_{\{|X - E[X]| \geq 1/j\}}] \\ &\geq E[(1/j)^2 1_{\{|X - E[X]| \geq 1/j\}}] \\ &\geq (1/j)^2 P(|X - E[X]| \geq 1/j). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $1/j$  by a positive number  $\epsilon$  and rearranging, we have

$$P(|X - E[X]| \geq \epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-2} E[(X - E[X])^2].$$

In fact, Chebychev's Inequality can be made more general. We can replace  $(X - E[X])^2$  by any nonnegative  $g(X)$  with finite expectation:

$$P(g(X) \geq \epsilon^2) \leq \epsilon^{-2} E[g(X)].$$

Unfortunately, Chebychev's Inequality is extremely conservative. For instance, if  $g(X) = (X - E[X])^2$  and  $\epsilon^2 = Var[X]$ , then we obtain

$$P(|X - E[X]| \geq SD[X]) \leq 1,$$

a true but manifestly useless statement. Part of the problem is that Chebychev's Inequality does not exploit any information about the distribution of  $X$  (other than that it has finite mean and variance). Inequalities that exploit information about the distribution of  $X$  are usually less conservative.

*A moment generating inequality.* Here is an interesting inequality: at any  $t \geq 0$  for which  $M_X(t)$  is finite, and for any real number  $a$ , we have

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \exp[-at] M_X(t).$$

Let's prove this result. Assume for simplicity that  $X$  is a continuous random variable with probability density function  $f(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X \geq a) &= \int_a^\infty f(x) dx \\
 &\leq \int_a^\infty \exp[(x-a)t]f(x) dx \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp[(x-a)t]f(x) dx \\
 &= \exp[-at]M_X(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first " $\leq$ " holds because  
 second " $\leq$ " holds because

and the

As an application, let us find a bound for the probability with which a gamma random variable  $X$  with parameters  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  exceeds its mean by more than one standard deviation. Taking for granted that  $E[X] = \alpha\beta$ ,  $Var[X] = \alpha\beta^2$ , and  $M_X(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta t}\right)^\alpha$  for  $|t| < 1/\beta$ , by putting  $a := E[X] + SD[X] = \alpha\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}\beta$  and  $t := 1/(C\beta)$  with  $C \in (1, \infty)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X \geq \alpha\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}\beta) &\leq \exp[-(\alpha\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}\beta)/(C\beta)] \left(\frac{1}{1-1/C}\right)^\alpha \\
 &= \exp[-\alpha/C - \sqrt{\alpha}/C + \alpha \log C - \alpha \log(C-1)].
 \end{aligned}$$

The question then becomes, given  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , for which  $C \in (1, \infty)$  is the bound smallest? Since the exponential function is monotone increasing in its argument, we can answer this question by maximizing  $\alpha/C + \sqrt{\alpha}/C - \alpha \log C + \alpha \log(C-1)$  with respect to  $C \in (1, \infty)$ . This is a calculus exercise; the end result is  $C = \sqrt{\alpha} + 1$ . With this choice of  $C$  we have

$$P(X \geq \alpha\beta + \sqrt{\alpha}\beta) \leq \exp[-\alpha/(\sqrt{\alpha}+1) - \sqrt{\alpha}/(\sqrt{\alpha}+1) + \alpha \log(\sqrt{\alpha}+1) - \alpha \log(\sqrt{\alpha})].$$

For example, if  $\alpha = 1$ , then we obtain a bound of  $\exp[-1/2 - 1/2 + \log 2] = 0.7358$ . This bound is very conservative (the actual probability is 0.1353) but not as bad as the useless bound of 1 provided by Chebychev's Inequality. Note that I am identifying  $\{X \geq E[X] + SD[X]\}$  with  $\{|X - E[X]| \geq SD[X]\}$ . Is that justified?

A *gamma identity*. Your textbook authors list several identities. None of these identities is particularly memorable, but the technique used to prove them is worth learning.

As an illustration, I will prove an identity that your authors did not, namely that if  $X$  is a gamma random variable with parameters  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  then

$$E[g(X)(X - \alpha\beta)] = \beta E[Xg'(X)]$$

whenever  $g(x)$  is a continuously differentiable function on  $(0, \infty)$  for which both of the expectations exist as finite numbers and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x)xf(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x)xf(x) = 0$ . (Why stipulate that the latter limit be 0? Aren't we covered since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x = 0$ ?)

Putting

$$u := \beta xf(x) = \frac{\beta^{-\alpha+1}}{\Gamma[\alpha]} x^\alpha \exp[-x/\beta]$$

and  $dv := g'(x) dx$  for integration by parts, we obtain  $v = g(x)$  and

$$du = \frac{\beta^{-\alpha+1}}{\Gamma[\alpha]} \exp[-x/\beta] (\alpha x^{\alpha-1} - x^\alpha/\beta) dx,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta E[Xg'(X)] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^{-\alpha+1}}{\Gamma[\alpha]} x^\alpha \exp[-x/\beta] g'(x) dx \\ &= [g(x)\beta xf(x)]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty g(x) \frac{\beta^{-\alpha+1}}{\Gamma[\alpha]} \exp[-x/\beta] (\alpha x^{\alpha-1} - x^\alpha/\beta) dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \\ &= \int_0^\infty \\ &= E[g(X)(X - \alpha\beta)]. \end{aligned}$$