STA 623 – Fall 2013 – Dr. Charnigo

Section 1.3: Conditional Probability and Independence

Definition of conditional probability. Let A and B be events that belong to the sigma field. (Hereafter all events mentioned will belong to the sigma field unless explicitly stated otherwise.) If P(B) > 0, then we define the conditional probability of A given B as

$$P(A|B) := P(A \cap B)/P(B).$$

In this context, we sometimes refer to P(A) as an unconditional probability. Intuitively, P(A|B) is an updated version of P(A) given the knowledge that event B has occurred.

Example (definition of conditional probability). Let A denote the event that a randomly selected person smokes, and let B denote the event that a randomly selected person develops lung cancer. Suppose that P(B) = 0.02, P(A) = 0.25, and P(B|A) = 0.05. Then $P(A \cap B) =$, so that P(A|B) =. Moreover, $P(A^c \cap B) =$, so that $P(A^c|B) =$.

Useful results for conditional probabilities. Assuming that the axioms for unconditional probabilities are satisfied and that P(D) > 0, we have the following useful results for conditional probabilities.

1.
$$P(A|D) \ge 0$$
.
2. $P(S|D) = 1$.
3. $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i | D) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i | D)$ whenever $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \ne j$.
4. $P(\emptyset | D) = 0$.
5. $P(A|D) \le 1$.
6. $P(A^c | D) = 1 - P(A|D)$.

7. $P(B \cap A^c | D) = P(B | D) - P(B \cap A | D).$

8.
$$P(A \cup B|D) = P(A|D) + P(B|D) - P(A \cap B|D).$$

9. If $A \subset B$, then $P(A|D) \leq P(B|D).$
10. $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i|D) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(C_i|D).$
11. If C_1, C_2, \ldots is a partition, then $P(A|D) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A \cap C_i|D).$

Example (useful results for conditional probabilities). To verify result 3, we write

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i | D) = P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap D)) / P(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i | D).$$

The justification for the second equality is that, if $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, then

$$(A_i \cap D) \cap (A_j \cap D) = (A_i \cap A_j) \cap D = \emptyset \cap D = \emptyset.$$

Iterating conditional probabilities. Suppose that $P(B \cap C) > 0$. Then

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A|B \cap C)P(B \cap C) = P(A|B \cap C)P(B|C)P(C).$$

Moreover, since the left side is also equal to $P(A \cap B|C)P(C)$, we see that

$$P(A \cap B|C) = P(A|B \cap C)P(B|C).$$

(How do we know that P(C) > 0?)

Bayes' Theorem. Let A_1, A_2, \ldots be a partition of S such that $P(A_i) > 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. Then for any event B and any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ we have

$$P(A_i|B) = \frac{P(B|A_i)P(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(B|A_j)P(A_j)}$$

A similar result also holds for a finite partition A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k ,

$$P(A_i|B) = \frac{P(B|A_i)P(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^k P(B|A_j)P(A_j)}.$$

In particular, with k = 2 we have (upon a minor change in notation)

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|A^c)P(A^c)}.$$

Example (Bayes' Theorem). Bayes' Theorem is obvious from the definition of conditional probability if we can verify that the denominator is P(B). (How can we do this?) Equality of the denominator to P(B) is sometimes called the Law of Total Probability.

Again, let A denote the event that a randomly selected person smokes, and let B denote the event that a randomly selected person develops lung cancer. As before, suppose that P(A) = 0.25 and P(B|A) = 0.05. But now suppose that we are not given P(B). Rather, suppose that we are told that 99% of non-smokers do not develop lung cancer. How can we find P(A|B)with just the information provided here?

Independence of two events. Suppose that A and B are events such that 0 < P(A) < 1, 0 < P(B) < 1, and P(A|B) = P(A). Thus, knowing that B has occurred does not lead us to revise the probability that A will occur. In this case, multiplying both sides of P(A|B) = P(A) by P(B) yields

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(A)P(B).$$

Moreover, since $P(A \cap B)$ can also be expressed as P(B|A)P(A), we see that P(B|A) = P(B). If any one of these three equivalent conditions holds $- P(A|B) = P(A) = P(A|B^c), P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$, or P(B|A) = $P(B) = P(B|A^c)$ — we say that A and B are independent. (How are we able to go from P(A|B) = P(A) to $P(A|B) = P(A) = P(A|B^c)$ and from P(B|A) = P(B) to $P(B|A) = P(B) = P(B|A^c)$?)

If no restrictions on P(A) or P(B) are made, so we are not sure whether P(A|B) and P(B|A) are defined, then we characterize independence by the equation $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$.

If A and B are independent, then so are A^c and B^c , A^c and B, and A and B^c .

Example (independence of two events). Let A and B be two events. If P(A) = 0, then A and B are independent. (How do we know this?) If P(B) = 1, then A and B are independent. (How do we know this?)

To verify that independence of A and B implies independence of A^c and B, we write

$$P(A^{c} \cap B) = P(B) - P(A \cap B) = P(B) - P(A)P(B) = P(B)P(A^{c}).$$

We can similarly prove that independence of A and B implies independence of A^c and B^c and of A and B^c .

Suppose that I am dealt three cards (without replacement) from a well-shuffled standard 52-card deck. Let C be the event that the suit of the first card is diamonds, B be the event that the suit of the second card is diamonds, and A be the event that the suit of the third card is diamonds. Are C and B independent?

Independence of three or more events. Let A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n be events such that for any subcollection $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \ldots, A_{i_k}$ we have

$$P(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} A_{i_j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} P(A_{i_j})$$

Then we say that A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n are independent. Independence of n events thus entails not only

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2) \cdots P(A_n)$$

but also

$$P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2), \quad P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) = P(A_1)P(A_2)P(A_3),$$
$$P(A_4 \cap A_8) = P(A_4)P(A_8), \quad \text{etc.}$$