## STA 623 – Fall 2013 – Dr. Charnigo

## Section 3.3: Continuous Distributions

Normal distribution. A random variable X has the normal distribution with mean  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and standard deviation  $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} \exp[-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)].$$

Suppose that X has the normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . Let  $a \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  and  $b \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Then we can readily verify that aX + b has the normal distribution with mean and standard deviation A special case is  $a := \sigma^{-1}$  and  $b := -\mu\sigma^{-1}$ , which yields the normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1 (called the standard normal distribution).

The practical importance of the above result is that any probability involving a normal random variable can be expressed as a probability involving a standard normal random variable, and the cumulative distribution function of a standard normal random variable has been tabulated. An abbreviated table is shown below, where Z denotes a standard normal random variable. The process of defining  $Z := \sigma^{-1}X - \mu\sigma^{-1} = (X - \mu)/\sigma$  and calculating a probability involving X in terms of Z is called standardization.

Table	1:

z	$P(Z \le z)$	z	$P(Z \le z)$
-3	0.0013	1	0.8413
-2	0.0228	2	0.9772
-1	0.1587	3	0.9987
0	0.5000		

To illustrate, suppose that X has the normal distribution with mean 100 and standard deviation 10. What is  $P(X \ge 70)$ ? What is  $P(90 \le X \le 120)$ ?

Normal distributions are of special interest for two reasons. First, many physical, biological, or social phenomena can reasonably be modeled using a normal distribution. Second, if a random variable X can be expressed as a sum of independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , then under fairly general conditions the cumulative distribution function of X can be approximated by the cumulative distribution function of a normal random variable with mean E[X] and standard deviation  $\sqrt{Var[X]}$ . This is a consequence of the Central Limit Theorem, with which you will become familiar in STA 606.

For instance, we know that a binomial random variable X with parameters p and n can be expressed as  $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , where  $X_i := 1_{\{\text{success on trial }i\}}$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Since X has mean np and standard deviation  $\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ , the Central Limit Theorem tells us that the cumulative distribution function of X can be approximated by the cumulative distribution function of a normal random variable with mean np and standard deviation  $\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ . Or, put differently,  $(X - np)/\sqrt{np(1-p)}$  "looks" like a standard normal random variable. The quality of the approximation gets better as np(1-p) gets larger.

To illustrate, suppose that X has the binomial distribution with parameters p = 0.5 and n = 100. Then np = 50 and  $\sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{25} = 5$ . Letting Z denote a standard normal random variable, we have

$$P(45 \le X \le 55) = P(-1 \le (X - 50)/5 \le 1) \approx P(-1 \le Z \le 1) =$$

Actually, since  $P(45 \le X \le 55) = P(45 - \delta < X < 55 + \delta)$  for any  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , we can validly approximate this probability by  $P(-1 - \delta/5 < Z < 1 + \delta/5)$ . Such a  $\delta$  is referred to as a continuity correction. The best choice of  $\delta$  is arguably 0.5, on the grounds that 5Z+50 is meant to "look" like X, so X = 45 should translate to 44.5 < 5Z + 50 < 45.5 rather than to (say) 5Z + 50 = 45 or 44 < 5Z + 50 < 46. In fact, with  $\delta = 0.5$ , we obtain P(-1.1 < Z < 1.1) = 0.7287, which is in agreement with the actual value of  $P(45 \le X \le 55)$  to four decimal places.

Gamma distribution. A random variable X has the gamma distribution with parameters  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma[\alpha]\beta^{\alpha}} x^{\alpha-1} \exp[-x/\beta] \mathbb{1}_{\{x>0\}}.$$

We have  $E[X] = \alpha\beta$  and  $Var[X] = \alpha\beta^2$ . We refer to  $\alpha$  as a shape parameter and to  $\beta$  as a scale parameter.

An alternative parametrization replaces  $\beta$  with  $1/\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $E[X] = \alpha/\lambda$  and  $Var[X] = \alpha/\lambda^2$ . When necessary to distinguish between the two parametrizations, we call the one with  $\beta$  a "mean" parametrization (because E[X] is proportional to  $\beta$ ) and the one with  $\lambda$  a "rate" parametrization (for reasons that will emerge in STA 624, if you take that course).

Worth noting is that the shape of f(x) is highly sensitive to  $\alpha$ , which is why  $\alpha$  is called a shape parameter. When  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , f(x) is strictly decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . When  $\alpha$  exceeds 1, f(x) has a mode — i.e., a point at which f(x) is maximized — interior to  $(0, \infty)$ . As  $\alpha$  continues increasing, the mode of f(x) moves rightward and f(x) takes on a bell-shaped appearance. In fact, for very large  $\alpha$ , a gamma distribution is well approximated by a normal distribution. Thus, for purposes of modeling physical, biological, or social phenomena not well described by a normal distribution, a gamma distribution with a small or modest  $\alpha$  is a more viable choice than a gamma distribution with a large  $\alpha$ .

In the special case that  $\alpha = 1$ , we say that X has the exponential distribution with scale parameter  $\beta$ .

In the special case that  $\alpha = p/2$  and  $\beta = 2$ , where p is a positive integer, we say that X has the chi-square distribution on p degrees of freedom. (In fact, other than for convenience in producing tables for the backs of methods textbooks, there is no real reason that a chi-square distribution must have integer degrees of freedom. So, if we like, we can just let p be a positive real.) What are the mean and standard deviation of a chi-square random variable on p degrees of freedom? What will X/p "look" like when p is large? Weibull distribution. Let  $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ . If X has the exponential distribution with scale parameter  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $Y := X^{1/\gamma}$  has probability density function

$$f(y) = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} y^{\gamma-1} \exp[-y^{\gamma}/\beta] \mathbb{1}_{\{y>0\}}$$

and is said to have the Weibull distribution with parameters  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ . We have  $E[Y] = \beta^{1/\gamma} \Gamma[1 + 1/\gamma]$  and  $Var[Y] = \beta^{2/\gamma} \{ \Gamma[1 + 2/\gamma] - \Gamma^2[1 + 1/\gamma] \}.$ 

For any positive real y we have

$$P(Y \le y) = \int_0^y \frac{\gamma}{\beta} t^{\gamma - 1} \exp[-t^{\gamma}/\beta] dt =$$

We then have

$$S(y) := P(Y > y) =$$

and

$$H(y) := -\frac{d}{dy} \log S(y) =$$

We refer to S(y) as a survival function and to H(y) as a hazard function. We often interpret Y as the lifetime of a person or object, although Y can also be interpreted as the time until some generic event of interest occurs. Retaining the former interpretation for now, the survival function returns the probability that a person or object lives more than y time units. To understand the hazard function, let  $\delta$  be a small positive number and consider  $P(Y \leq y + \delta | Y > y)$ . In words, this is the probability of a person or object dying in the next  $\delta$  time units given that the person or object is alive at time y. We have

$$P(Y \le y + \delta | Y > y) = \frac{P(y < Y \le y + \delta)}{P(Y > y)} \approx \frac{\delta f(y)}{S(y)} = \delta H(y)$$

Hence, a hazard function that increases over  $(0, \infty)$  signifies a belief that an older person or object is more likely to expire over a short time interval than a younger person or object.

Given the above calculations, what can we say about the potential applicability of a Weibull distribution to describe a lifetime? Beta distribution. A random variable X has the beta distribution with parameters  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma[\alpha + \beta]}{\Gamma[\alpha]\Gamma[\beta]} x^{\alpha - 1} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 < x < 1\}}.$$

We have  $E[X] = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$  and  $Var[X] = \alpha\beta/[(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)]$ .

In the special case that  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , we say that X has the uniform distribution on (0,1). Moreover, if a and b are any reals with a < b, then a + (b-a)X is said to have the uniform distribution on (a,b).

A beta distribution is sometimes employed in microarray data analysis. For instance, suppose that there are 5000 genes and that, for each gene, we have tested a null hypothesis that the mean expression level of the gene is the same within each of two populations, say people with and without a particular illness. (Here I am taking for granted that you already have some knowledge of hypothesis testing from STA 602. You will study hypothesis testing from a more theoretical perspective in STA 606, but that theoretical perspective is not required here.) Thus, for each gene we have obtained a p-value. If all 5000 null hypotheses are true, then the p-values should be uniformly distributed on (0, 1). However, if some of the null hypotheses are false, then there should be more small p-values than large p-values. What can we say about the potential applicability of a beta distribution to describe such a collection of p-values?

Cauchy distribution. A random variable X has the Cauchy distribution with parameters  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sigma} \frac{1}{1 + (x - \mu)^2 / \sigma^2}.$$

We cannot call  $\mu$  a mean since E[X] does not exist as a finite number, nor can we call  $\sigma$  a standard deviation since  $E[X^2]$  does not exist as a finite number. Instead, we call  $\mu$  a location parameter and  $\sigma$  a scale parameter. Actually, we can be more specific and call  $\mu$  a median, since  $P(X \le \mu) = P(X \ge \mu) = 1/2$ . Lognormal distribution. A random variable X has the lognormal distribution with parameters  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} x^{-1} \exp[-(\log x - \mu)^2 / (2\sigma^2)] \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}$$

The lognormal distribution is so named because  $\log X =: Y$  has the normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ . Thus, lognormal distributions are appealing models for physical, biological, or social phenomena whose quantifications are strictly positive (so that their logarithms are defined) with logarithms that are well described by a normal distribution.

Recalling that  $M_Y(t) = \exp[\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2]$ , we see that

$$E[X] = E[\exp Y] = \exp[\mu + \sigma^2/2] \quad \text{and}$$

$$Var[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$$
$$=$$

Double exponential distribution. A random variable X has the double exponential distribution with parameters  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$  if its probability density function is

$$f(x) = (2\sigma)^{-1} \exp[-|x - \mu|/\sigma].$$

While unimodal (i.e., possessing only one mode), f(x) is not bell-shaped.

Putting  $y := x - \mu$ , we have

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(2\sigma)^{-1} \exp[-|x-\mu|/\sigma] dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y+\mu)(2\sigma)^{-1} \exp[-|y|/\sigma] dy$$
$$=$$

We can also show that  $Var[X] = 2\sigma^2$ .