# STA 623 – Fall 2013 – Dr. Charnigo

## Section 3.4: Exponential Families

*Families of probability density functions.* For simplicity I will focus on continuous random variables and probability density functions in this lecture. There are parallel developments for discrete random variables and probability mass functions.

To make explicit that a probability density function depends on a (scalar or vector) parameter $\theta$, I can use the notation $f(x;\theta)$. For instance, the exponential distribution with (scalar) rate parameter $\theta$ has probability density function $f(x;\theta) = 1_{\{x\in(0,\infty)\}}\theta\exp[-\theta x]$.

Let $\Theta$ denote the parameter space (i.e., the set of all possible values for $\theta$). If $f(x;\theta_1) \equiv f(x;\theta_2)$ implies that $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, then we say that $\theta$ is identifiable. In this case, we get a different $f(x;\theta)$ for each $\theta \in \Theta$, so we have not one probability density function (assuming that $\Theta$ is not singleton) but rather a whole family of probability density functions. We may refer to the family, rather than to an individual probability density function within the family, as $\{f(x;\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$.

**Example (families of probability density functions)**. Put $\Theta := (0,\infty)$ and consider the family $\{1_{\{x\in(0,\infty)\}}\theta\exp[-\theta x] : \theta \in \Theta\}$. Is $\theta$ identifiable? Can you exhibit another family for which $\theta$ is not identifiable?

*Exponential families.* We say that $\{f(x;\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is an exponential family if each of its members has the form

$$f(x;\theta) = h(x)c(\theta)\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right].$$

Above, functions of $x$ only are not allowed to depend on $\theta$ and vice versa.

**Example (exponential families)**. Put $\Theta := (0, \infty)$ and consider the family $\{1_{\{x \in (0,\infty)\}} \theta \exp[-\theta x] : \theta \in \Theta\}$. To verify that this is an exponential family, we note that $k = 1$ and

$h(x) =$ $\qquad\qquad$ $c(\theta) =$

$w_1(\theta) =$ $\qquad\qquad$ $t_1(x) =$

Now put $\Theta := (0, \infty)$ and consider the family $\{1_{\{x \in [0,\theta]\}} \theta^{-1} : \theta \in \Theta\}$. This is not an exponential family because we cannot express $1_{\{x \in [0,\theta]\}}$ as a product of a function of $x$ with a function of $\theta$. This example provides a useful principle: if the support set depends on $\theta$, then we do not have an exponential family.

For one more example, put $\Theta := (0, \infty)$ and consider the family $\{1_{\{x \in (0,\infty)\}} \theta/(x+1)^{\theta+1} : \theta \in \Theta\}$. To verify that this is an exponential family, we note that $k = 1$ and

$h(x) =$ $\qquad\qquad$ $c(\theta) =$

$w_1(\theta) =$ $\qquad\qquad$ $t_1(x) =$

*Moment calculations.* If the probability density function of $X$ is a member of an exponential family, then

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} w_i(\theta) t_i(X)\right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log c(\theta) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Var\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} w_i(\theta) t_i(X)\right] = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j^2} \log c(\theta) - E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j^2} w_i(\theta) t_i(X)\right],$$

where $\theta_j$ denotes the $j^{th}$ component of a vector $\theta$. If $\theta$ is a scalar, then replace each partial differentiation in $\theta_j$ with an ordinary differentiation in $\theta$.

**Example (moment calculations)**. To see where the expected value formula comes from, note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)c(\theta)\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right]\,dx = 1$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$. As such,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right]\,dx = \exp[-\log c(\theta)].$$

Assuming that we can interchange the order of differentiation and integration (we can, but the justification is delicate), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)\exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right]\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\,dx = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}\log c(\theta)\exp[-\log c(\theta)].$$

Multiplying both sides by $c(\theta)$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x;\theta)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\,dx = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j}\log c(\theta).$$

To illustrate the expected value and variance formulas, put $\Theta := (0,\infty)$ and consider the family $\{1_{\{x\in(0,\infty)\}}\theta/(x+1)^{\theta+1} : \theta \in \Theta\}$. We have

$E[\log(1+X)] =$ and

$Var[\log(1+X)] =$

*Curved and full exponential families.* An exponential family in which the dimension of $\theta$ equals $k$ (the number of summands inside the exponential) is called a full exponential family. An exponential family in which the dimension of $\theta$ is less than $k$ is called a curved exponential family. The distinction between curved and full exponential families becomes important in the search for best unbiased estimators, as you will see if you take STA 607.